

Process evaluations for the scaleup of complex interventions

## A scoping review



Martin Heine (PhD)

•

- Julius Global Health, UMC Utrecht (NL)
- Institute of Sport and Exercise Medicine, Stellenbosch University (ZA)

### **Process evaluation**

A process evaluation (PE) is an essential part of designing and testing complex health interventions and is

vital in building an evidence base that informs policy and practice.

A pivotal understanding related to

- context (contextual factors and causal mechanisms)
- implementation (fidelity, dose, adaptations, reach), and
- mechanisms of impact (participant responses, mediators, and unanticipated pathways and consequences)



MRC Framework for Process Evaluations in CHIs





For many **urgent health needs**, the key question is not about

testing or developing new CHIs, but rather scaling-up already

existing interventions through uptake of evidence-based

practices and research findings into clinical practice.





### Scale-up



### Testing / Development ≠ scaling up



Source: van Olmen et al. 2021 Global Health Action 4



To describe the current practice of process evaluation (PE) in the

### scale-up of complex health interventions.

- Key functions of a PE in terms of the scale-up of a CHI
- Methods for conducting the PE
- Stakeholders were involved
- Enabling and inhibiting factors for PE in terms of scale-up.







- Systematic search in eight data sources (PubMed, Embase, CENTRAL, Web of Science, CINAHL, Global Health, Scielo and African Index Medicus; August 2022)
- Eligibility criteria
  - Explicitly during / following scale-up (not to inform future scale-up)
  - Complex Health Interventions (due to the of properties of the intervention, e.g., multiple components)
  - Explicitly state that a PE was conducted as part of the research study



### Results

- Screened: 10k+
- Full-text review: 81
- Included: 35
- Published between 2010 and 2022
- Majority RCTs
- Majority on non (51%) communicable disease (43%)





# Nature of interventions being scaled-up

- LMIC (n = 20; 57%)
- Vulnerable populations in HIC (n = 3)

- Facility (57%)
- Community (34%)
- Systems (9%)





# Main functions of PE during scale-up



#### Context

 To evaluation the (health)system elements that inform (succesful) scale-up of the intervention being scaled

### **Mechanisms of impact**

- Enables / Inhibiters of scale-up

#### Implementation

- degree to which scale-up was achieved as intended



## When is the process evaluated?





## Methodological underpinnings

- Many different implementation science frameworks were used
  - E.g., RE-AIM / CFIR
  - Often adapted or combined
- Scale-up framework (n = 2; Expandnet, four steps to scaling up [Barker et al. 2016])











## Limitations

- Scaling-up the implementation of evidence into practice is a process that may take place **outside of the academic environment**
- **ambiguity** in terms of the concepts (integration, comprehensiveness)
- PE quickly leans towards qualitative stakeholder engagement while quantitative data can (or should) also support the evaluation of process.





## Conclusions

- There is considerable heterogeneity in the current practice of conducting process evaluations alongside (or following) the scaleup of complex health interventions
- Ideally, a process evaluation is a recurrent continuous process alongside the scale-up project to inform real-world adaptations to the scale-up strategies when applicable.
- Important information on the process of scale-up may be obtained from down and upstream stakeholders indirectly impacted by the scale-up process but not commonly included in the evaluation.





# Thank you

### Lekha Rathod (UMCU; NL)



### UMCU (NL)

Daniel Boateng (KNUST, GH) Kerstin Klipstein-Grobusch (WITS, SA)

### **ITM<sup>\*</sup> & UA<sup>#</sup> (BE)**

Monika Martens\*#

Josefien van Olmen<sup>#</sup>

Grace Marie Ku\*

